arXiv:1411.3083v2 [math.ST] 30 Jun 2015

On limiting distribution of U-statistics based on associated random variables

Mansi Garg*and Isha Dewan
Indian Statistical Institute
New Delhi-110016 (India)
mansibirla@gmail.com and ishadewan@gmail.com

Abstract

Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of stationary associated random variables. Based on this sample, we give a central limit theorem for U-statistics with differentiable kernels of degree 2 and above. We also obtain a consistent estimator of σ_f, where $\sigma_f^2 = \lim_{n\to\infty}(VarS_n)/n$, $S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n f(X_j)$, and f is a non-monotonic function.

Keywords: *Associated random variables; Central limit theorem; Hoeffding's decomposition for U-statistics; U-statistics.*

1 Introduction

Many results for U-statistics require the random variables to be independent and cannot be directly applied to U-statistics based on dependent variables. In the past few decades, several authors have studied the limiting behavior of U-statistics for dependent random variables. In this paper, we discuss the limiting distribution of U-statistics based on associated random variables (Definition 1.1) using the Hoeffding's decomposition.

Definition 1.1. *A finite collection of random variables $\{X_j, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ is said to be associated, if for any choice of component-wise nondecreasing functions h, g : $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, we have,*

$$Cov(h(X_1, \ldots, X_n), g(X_1, \ldots, X_n)) \geq 0$$

whenever it exists. An infinite collection of random variables $\{X_j, j \geq 1\}$ is associated if every finite sub-collection is associated.

Introduced by Esary et al. (1967), associated random variables have been widely used in reliability studies, statistical mechanics, and percolation theory. This concept has been studied by several authors, like, Newman and Wright (1982), Newman

*Corresponding author.

(1984), Cox and Grimmett (1984), Birkel (1988a, b, c), Roussas (1993, 1994), and Matula (2001).

Any set of independent random variables is associated. Nondecreasing functions of associated random variables are associated (cf. Esary et al. (1967)). A detailed presentation of the asymptotic results and examples relating to associated sequences can be found in Bulinski and Shashkin (2007, 2009), Oliveira (2012) and Prakasa Rao (2012).

Let $\{X_j, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ be a sequence of random variables and F be the distribution function of X_1. Let $\rho(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k)$ be a real valued function symmetric in its arguments. Define the U-statistic by,

$$U_n = \binom{n}{k}^{-1} \sum_{(n,k)} \rho(X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}, \ldots, X_{i_k}), \tag{1.1}$$

where (n, k) indicates all subsets $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$ of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Let

$$\theta = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \rho(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k)\ dF(x_1) dF(x_2) \ldots dF(x_k). \tag{1.2}$$

When $\{X_j, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ are $i.i.d$ $E(U_n) = \theta$.

Dewan and Prakasa Rao (2001) gave a central limit theorem for U-statistics based on stationary associated random variables using an orthogonal expansion of the underlying kernel. A strong law of large numbers for U-statistics based on associated random variables was proved by Christofides (2004) using the concept of demimartingales.

In section 2 we state a few results and definitions which will be required to prove our main results. Section 3 of the paper contains a result on limiting distribution of U-statistics based on associated random variables. In section 4, we apply our results to discuss the asymptotic distribution of some U-statistics - the variance estimator, estimator of the squared mean and the central third moment estimator when the underlying sample consists of stationary associated observations. When the kernel is monotonic the limiting standard deviation of the U-statistic can be estimated by using the results of Peligrad and Suresh (1995). However, for U-statistics based on non-monotone transformations of associated random variables these cannot be used. We extend their results to non-monotonic functions of associated random variables in section 5.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we give results and definitions which will be needed to prove our main results given in section 3 and 5.

Definition 2.1. *Hoeffding's decomposition for U-statistics.*

Let U_n be as defined in (1.1). *Define,*

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_c(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_c) &= E[\rho(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_c, X_{c+1}, \ldots, X_k)] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{k-c}} \rho(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k)\ dF(x_{c+1}) \ldots dF(x_k).\end{aligned}$$

Let,

$$h^{(1)}(x_1) = \rho_1(x_1) - \theta,$$

and

$$h^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) = \rho_2(x_1, x_2) - \rho_1(x_1) - \rho_1(x_2) + \theta.$$

In general,

$$h^{(c)}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_c) = \rho_c(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_c) - \sum_{j=1}^{c-1} \sum_{(c,j)} h^{(j)}(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \ldots, x_{i_j}) - \theta, \quad (2.1)$$

where (c, j) indicates all subsets $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_j \leq c$ of $\{1, 2, \ldots, c\}$.
Then the Hoeffding-decomposition (H-decomposition) for U_n is given by (see, Lee (1990))

$$U_n = \theta + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \binom{k}{j} H_n^{(j)}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $H_n^{(j)}$ is the U-statistics of degree j based on the kernel $h^{(j)}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, that is,

$$H_n^{(j)} = \binom{n}{j}^{-1} \sum_{(n,j)} h^{(j)},$$

where (n, j) indicates all subsets $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_j \leq n$ of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.

Lemma 2.2. *(Newman (1980)) Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a strictly stationary sequence of associated random variables. Let $\sigma^2 = Var(X_1) + 2\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} Cov(X_1, X_j)$ with $0 < \sigma^2 < \infty$. Then,*

$$\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n}(X_j - E(X_j))}{\sqrt{n}\sigma} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, 1) \text{ as } n \to \infty. \quad (2.3)$$

Lemma 2.3. *(Newman (1980)) Suppose X and Y be two associated random variables with $E(X^2) < \infty$ and $E(Y^2) < \infty$. Let f and g be differentiable functions with $\sup_x |f'(x)| < \infty$ and $\sup_x |g'(x)| < \infty$. Then,*

$$|Cov(f(X), g(Y)| \leq \sup_x \big|f'(x)\big| \sup_y \big|g'(y)\big| Cov(X, Y). \quad (2.4)$$

Lemma 2.4. *(Christofides and Vaggelatou (2004)) Let the random vector $(X_1, ..., X_n)$ have associated components with $E[X_j^2] < \infty$, $j = 1, 2, ..., n$. Suppose that a random variable Z is independent of the vector $(X_1, ..., X_{n-1})$ and the random variables X_n and Z are identically distributed. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function with bounded second-order partial derivatives. Then,*

$$|E(f(X_1, ..., X_{n-1}, X_n)) - E(f(X_1, ..., X_{n-1}, Z))| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sup_{x_k, x_n} |\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_k \partial x_n}| Cov(X_k, X_m)$$

Definition 2.5. *(Newman (1984)) If f and $\tilde{f}$ are two real-valued functions on $\mathbb{R}^m$, then $f \ll \tilde{f}$ iff $\tilde{f} + f$ and $\tilde{f} - f$ are both coordinate-wise nondecreasing. If $f \ll \tilde{f}$, then $\tilde{f}$ will be coordinate-wise nondecreasing.*

Lemma 2.6. *(Newman (1984)) Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a stationary sequence of associated random variables. For each j, let $Y_j = f(X_j)$ and $\tilde{Y_j} = \tilde{f}(X_j)$. Suppose that $f \ll \tilde{f}$ and $0 < Var(Y_1) < \infty$. Let,*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} Cov(\tilde{Y_1}, \tilde{Y_j}) < \infty.$$

Then

$$\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n}(Y_j - E(Y_j))}{\sqrt{n}\sigma_f} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0,1) \text{ as } n \to \infty, \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$\sigma_f^2 = Var(Y_1) + 2\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} Cov(Y_1, Y_j).$$

In the following, $f \ll_A \tilde{f}$ if $f \ll \tilde{f}$ and both f and $\tilde{f}$ depend only on $x_j's$ with $j \in A$. A is a finite subset of $\{k, k \geq 1\}$.

Lemma 2.7. *(Newman (1984)) Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a stationary sequence of associated random variables. For each $j \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}, m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $Y_j = f_j(X_1, X_2, ...)$, $\tilde{Y_j} = \tilde{f_j}(X_1, X_2, ...)$ and $f_j \ll_{A_j} \tilde{f_j}$, where A_j is a finite subset of $\{k, k \geq 1\}$. Then,*

$$|\phi - \prod_{j=1}^{m} \phi_j| \leq 2 \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq m} |r_k||r_l| Cov(\tilde{Y_k}, \tilde{Y_l}) \tag{2.6}$$

where ϕ and ϕ_j are given by $\phi = E(exp(i\sum_{j=1}^{m} r_j Y_j))$ and $\phi_j = E(exp(ir_j Y_j))$.

Lemma 2.8. *(Newman (1984)) Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a stationary sequence of associated random variables. Let $f \ll_A \tilde{f}$ and $g \ll_A \tilde{g}$. Then,*

$$|Cov(f(X_1, X_2, ...), g(X_1, X_2, ...))| \leq Cov(\tilde{f}(X_1, X_2, ...), \tilde{g}(X_1, X_2, ...)) \tag{2.7}$$

Lemma 2.9. *(Matula (2001)) Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a stationary sequence of associated random variables. For each $j \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}; m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $Y_j = f_j(X_1, X_2, ...)$, $\tilde{Y_j} = \tilde{f_j}(X_1, X_2, ...)$ and $f_j \ll_{A_j} \tilde{f_j}$, where A_j is a finite subset of $\{k, k \geq 1\}$. Let $E(Y_n) = 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then for every $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$P[\max_{1 \leq k \leq m} |S_k| \geq \epsilon] \leq 160\epsilon^{-2} Var\tilde{S}_m. \tag{2.8}$$

Here, $S_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k} Y_i$, $1 \leq k \leq m$ and $\tilde{S}_m = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{Y_i}$.

Next, we state an invariance principle for $\{f(X_n), n \geq 1\}$, where f is a function of bounded variation on any finite interval. In the following, $V_f(x) = V_0^x(f)$ for $x \geq 0$ and $V_f(x) = -V_x^0(f)$ for $x \leq 0$, where $V_a^b(f)$ denotes the total variation of $f(x)$ on $[a, b]$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 2.10. *(Zhang (2001)) Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a stationary sequence of associated random variables, with $E(X_1) = \mu$ and $E(V_f^2(X_1)) < \infty$. Let $U_n(t) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{[nt]}(f(X_j)-E(f(X_j)))}{\sqrt{n}\sigma_f}, 0 \leq t \leq 1$, and $\sigma_f^2 = Var f(X_1) + 2\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} Cov(f(X_1), f(X_j))$. Suppose $\sigma_f^2 > 0$ and,*

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} Cov(V_f(X_1), V_f(X_j)) < \infty.$$

Then,

$$U_n \Rightarrow W(\sigma_f .),$$

where, $\{W(t); t \geq 0\}$ is the standard Weiner process and $''\Rightarrow''$ denotes the weak convergence in the space $D[0,1]$ with Skorohod topology.

3 Limit theorems for U-statistics with kernels of degree 2 and higher

The main results of this section, Theorems 3.3 and 3.4 give a central limit theorem for U-statistics with kernels of degree 2 based on a sequence of stationary associated random variables. For Theorem 3.3, we assume the kernel is monotonic, while for Theorem 3.4 the kernel is assumed to be non-monotonic. The extension of these theorems to U-statistics with kernels of a general finite degree k are also discussed.

In the following, let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of stationary associated random variables with $|X_n| < C_1 < \infty$, for all $n \geq 1$, for some $C_1 > 0$. Assume that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} Cov(X_1, X_j)^{1/3} < \infty$ and F is the distribution function of X_1.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose $h^{(2)}(x,y)$ is degenerate symmetric kernel of degree 2. Assume $h^{(2)}(x,y)h^{(2)}(x',y')$ has bounded second-order partial derivative for all $x, y, x', y' \in [-C_1, C_1]$. Then, as $n \to \infty$,*

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} |E(h^{(2)}(X_i, X_j)h^{(2)}(X_k, X_l))| = o(n^3). \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Let C be a generic positive constant in the sequel. Let $\{X_i', i \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of random variables independent of $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$ such that $\{X_i', i \geq 1\}$ are $i.i.d$ with F as the marginal distribution function of X_1'. Observe that by definition $h^{(2)}(x,y)$ is a degenerate kernel, i.e. $\int_{\mathbb{R}} h^{(2)}(x,y)dF(x) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence,

$$E(h^{(2)}(X_i', X_j)h^{(2)}(X_k, X_l)) = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

For all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and $1 \leq k < l \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|E(h^{(2)}(X_i, X_j)h^{(2)}(X_k, X_l))| \\
&= |E(h^{(2)}(X_i, X_j)h^{(2)}(X_k, X_l)) - E(h^{(2)}(X_i', X_j)h^{(2)}(X_k, X_l))| \\
&\leq C(Cov(X_i, X_j) + Cov(X_i, X_k) + Cov(X_i, X_l)).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

The last inequality in (3.3) follows from Lemma 2.4. Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|E(h^{(2)}(X_i, X_j)h^{(2)}(X_k, X_l))| \\
&\leq C(Cov(X_j, X_i) + Cov(X_j, X_k) + Cov(X_j, X_l)), \qquad (3.4) \\
&|E(h^{(2)}(X_i, X_j)h^{(2)}(X_k, X_l))| \\
&\leq C(Cov(X_k, X_i) + Cov(X_k, X_j) + Cov(X_k, X_l)). \qquad (3.5)
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5),

$$
|E(h^{(2)}(X_i, X_j)h^{(2)}(X_k, X_l))| \leq CT^{1/3}, \qquad (3.6)
$$

where, $T = [Cov(X_i, X_j) + Cov(X_i, X_k) + Cov(X_i, X_l)] \times [Cov(X_j, X_i) + Cov(X_j, X_k) + Cov(X_j, X_l)] \times [Cov(X_k, X_i) + Cov(X_k, X_j) + Cov(X_k, X_l)]$. Observe that the right-hand side of the inequality in (3.6) has 27 terms, each term being a product of 3 covariance terms. Let $r(s) = Cov(X_1, X_{1+s})^{1/3}$, $s \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0$. From (3.6), stationarity and observing that $r(0) < \infty$ ($r(j) \leq r(0)$, for all $j \geq 1$),

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|E(h^{(2)}(X_i, X_j)h^{(2)}(X_k, X_l))| \\
&\leq C\Big[r(|i-j|)^2 \times [r(|k-i|) + r(|k-j|) + r(|k-l|))] + r(|i-j|)r(|j-k|) \\
&\quad + r(|i-j|)r(|j-l|) + r(|i-k|)r(|j-i|) + r(|i-k|)r(|j-k|) + r(|i-k|)r(|j-l|) \\
&\quad + r(|i-l|)r(|j-i|) + r(|i-l|)r(|j-k|) + r(|i-l|)r(|j-l|)\Big]. \\
&\leq C\Big[r(|i-j|)r(|k-i|) + r(|i-j|)r(|k-j|) + r(|i-j|)r(|k-l|) \\
&\quad + r(|i-j|)r(|i-l|) + r(|i-k|)r(|j-k|) + r(|i-l|)r(|j-k|) + r(|j-l)r(|j-i|) \\
&\quad + r(|k-i|)r(|j-l|) + r(|i-l|)r(|j-l|)\Big] = \Delta(i,j,k,l), \text{ (say)}. \qquad (3.7)
\end{aligned}
$$

Each term in (3.7) is a product of 2 distinct covariance terms. It is easy to show that under $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r(j) < \infty$,

$$
\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} \Delta(i,j,k,l) = o(n^3) \text{ as } n \to \infty. \qquad (3.8)
$$

Using (3.8), (3.1) follows. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let U_n be the U-statistic based on a symmetric kernel $\rho(x, y)$ such that $h^{(2)}(.,.)$ (defined in Definition 2.1) satisfies the conditions of Lemma* 3.1. *Let $0 < \sigma_1^2 = Var(\rho_1(X_1)) < \infty$. Define $\sigma_{1j}^2 = Cov(\rho_1(X_1), \rho_1(X_{1+j}))$. Assume,*

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{1j}^2 < \infty. \qquad (3.9)
$$

Then,

$$
Var(U_n) = \frac{4\sigma_U^2}{n} + o(\frac{1}{n}), \text{ where, } \sigma_U^2 = \sigma_1^2 + 2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{1j}^2. \qquad (3.10)
$$

Proof. Using the H-decomposition for U_n, we have

$$Var(U_n) = 4Var(H_n^{(1)}) + Var(H_n^{(2)}) + 4Cov(H_n^{(1)}, H_n^{(2)}).$$

Since $H_n^{(1)} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} h^{(1)}(X_j)$, using (3.9) we get

$$Var(H_n^{(1)}) = \frac{1}{n}(\sigma_1^2 + 2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sigma_{1j}^2) + o(\frac{1}{n}). \tag{3.11}$$

From Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} E(H_n^{(2)})^2 &= \binom{n}{2}^{-2} \sum_{1\le i<j\le n}\sum_{1\le k<l\le n} E\left\{h^{(2)}(X_i, X_j)h^{(2)}(X_k, X_l)\right\} \\ &= o(n^{-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Hence,

$$Var(H_n^{(2)}) \le E(H_n^{(2)})^2 = o(\frac{1}{n}). \tag{3.13}$$

From (3.11) and (3.13), and using Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality we have,

$$|Cov(H_n^{(1)}, H_n^{(2)})| \le o(n^{-1}) \tag{3.14}$$

From (3.11), (3.13) and (3.14), we have $Var(U_n) = \frac{4\sigma_U^2}{n} + o(\frac{1}{n})$. □

The following gives the central limit theorem for a U-statistic based on a stationary sequence of associated observations with a monotonic kernel of degree 2.

Theorem 3.3. *Let U_n be a U-statistic based on $\rho(x, y)$ which is a symmetric kernel of degree 2. Suppose the conditions of Lemma* 3.2 *hold. Further assume that $\rho(x, y)$ is monotonic in x with $\sigma_U^2 > 0$. Then*

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(U_n - \theta)}{2\sigma_U} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, 1) \text{ as } n \to \infty, \text{ where } \sigma_U^2 \text{ is defined by } (3.10). \tag{3.15}$$

Proof. From the H-decomposition for U_n

$$\frac{n^{1/2}(U_n - \theta)}{2\sigma_U} = n^{-1/2}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{h^{(1)}(X_j)}{\sigma_U} + n^{1/2}\frac{H_n^{(2)}}{2\sigma_U}. \tag{3.16}$$

From (3.12), $nE(H_n^{(2)})^2 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Hence,

$$n^{1/2}\frac{H_n^{(2)}}{\sigma_U} \xrightarrow{p} 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{3.17}$$

Since ρ is monotonic in its arguments, the sequence $\left\{h^{(1)}(X_j), j \ge 1\right\}$ constitutes a stationary associated sequence. Then, using Lemma 2.2, it follows that

$$n^{-1/2}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{h^{(1)}(X_j)}{\sigma_U} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, 1) \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{3.18}$$

Relations (3.16), (3.17), and (3.18) prove the theorem. □

The following gives the central limit theorem for a U-statistic based on a stationary sequence of associated observations with a non-monotonic kernel of degree 2.

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose the conditions of Lemma* 3.2 *hold. Further assume that* $\rho(x,y)$ *is non-monotonic in* x *with* $\sigma_U^2 > 0$. *If there exits a function* $\tilde{\rho}_1(\cdot)$ *such that* $\rho_1 \ll \tilde{\rho}_1$ *and*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} Cov(\tilde{\rho}_1(X_1), \tilde{\rho}_1(X_j)) < \infty, \tag{3.19}$$

then,

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(U_n - \theta)}{2\sigma_U} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0,1) \text{ as } n \to \infty, \tag{3.20}$$

where σ_U^2 *is defined by* (3.10).

Proof. From condition (3.19) and Lemma 2.6, we get that,

$$n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{h^{(1)}(X_j)}{\sigma_U} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0,1) \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{3.21}$$

Relations (3.16), (3.17), and (3.21) prove the theorem. □

Remark 3.1. *The above results can be easily extended to a U-statistic* U_n *based on a symmetric kernel* $\rho(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k)$ *which is of a finite degree* $k > 2$. *Assume* $\rho(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k)\rho(x_1', x_2', \ldots, x_k')$ *has second-order bounded partial derivative for all* $x_i, x_i' \in [-C_1, C_1]$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$. *Let* $0 < \sigma_1^2 < \infty$ *and* $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{1j}^2 < \infty$, *with* $\sigma_U^2 > 0$. *If* ρ_1 *is monotonic then,*

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(U_n - \theta)}{k\sigma_U} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0,1) \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{3.22}$$

If ρ_1 *is non-monotonic and for some function* $\tilde{\rho}_1$, $\rho_1 \ll \tilde{\rho}_1$, *then* (3.22) *holds under the additional assumption* $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} Cov(\tilde{\rho}_1(X_1), \tilde{\rho}_1(X_j)) < \infty$.

Remark 3.2. *If* $\tilde{\rho}_1$ *has a bounded derivative, then using Lemma* 2.3, (3.19) *holds under* $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} Cov(X_1, X_j) < \infty$.

Remark 3.3. *Let* $\tilde{\rho}_1(x) = cx$ *for some constant* $c > 0$ *for all* $x \in \mathbb{R}$. *If* $\rho_1 \ll \tilde{\rho}_1$ *then* ρ_1 *is Lipschitzian. A sufficient condition for* ρ_1 *to be a lipschitz function is that it should have a bounded derivative.*

Remark 3.4. *If* $\rho_1(x)$ *is a function of bounded variation, then there exist two increasing functions* $U_1(x)$ *and* $U_2(x)$ *such that* $\rho_1(x) = U_1(x) - U_2(x)$ *for all* $x \in \mathbb{R}$. *Taking* $\tilde{\rho}_1(x) = U_1(x) + U_2(x)$ *we get* $\rho_1 \ll \tilde{\rho}_1$.

4 Applications

We use the results derived in section 3 to get the limiting distribution of the following U-statistics when the underlying sample consists of a sequence of stationary associated random variables.

4.1 Variance estimator

A measure of variability of observations from a distribution function F is the variance, σ_F^2, given by,

$$\sigma_F^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (x-\mu)^2 dF(x) dF(y), \tag{4.1}$$

where,

$$\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x dF(x).$$

Given a sample $\{X_j, 1 \le j \le n\}$ from F, an estimator for σ_F^2 is V_n, defined by,

$$V_n = \frac{1}{(n-1)} \sum_{1 \le i \le n} (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2 = \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \rho(X_i, X_j), \tag{4.2}$$

where $\bar{X}_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n}$ and the kernel $\rho(x,y) = \frac{(x-y)^2}{2}$.
When the observations are independent and identically distributed, the asymptotic distribution of V_n, as discussed in Hoeffding (1948) is,

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(V_n - \sigma_F^2)}{2\sqrt{\mu_4 - (\sigma_F^2)^2}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0,1) \text{ as } n \to \infty, \text{ where, } \mu_4 = E(X_1 - E(X_1))^4.$$

We next discuss the limiting distribution of V_n for a sequence of stationary associated random variables $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ with one-dimensional marginal distribution function F.

Theorem 4.1. *Let* $E|X_n^{(4+\delta)}| < \infty$, $n \ge 1$ *for some* $\delta > 0$. *Further,*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} Cov(X_1, X_j)^{\frac{\delta}{3(2+\delta)}} < \infty, \text{ for some } \delta > 0. \tag{4.3}$$

Then,

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(V_n - \sigma_F^2)}{2\sigma_U} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0,1) \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{4.4}$$

$\sigma_U^2 = Var(\rho_1(X_1)) + 2\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} Cov(\rho_1(X_1), \rho_1(X_j))$, *where* $Var(\rho_1(X_1)) = \mu_4 - (\sigma_F^2)^2$ *and* $Cov(\rho_1(X_1), \rho_1(X_j)) = Cov((X_1-\mu)^2, (X_j-\mu)^2)/4$.

If $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ *are uniformly bounded, then* (4.3) *can be reduced to,*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} Cov(X_1, X_j)^{1/3} < \infty. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. Here, $h^{(2)}(x,y) = (x-\mu)(y-\mu)$. Let C be a generic positive constant in the sequel.

Assume $\{X_i, i \ge 1\}$ to be uniformly bounded. Then, $h^{(2)}(x,y)h^{(2)}(x',y')$ has a bounded second order partial derivative and $\rho_1(x) \ll Cx$ as

$$\rho_1(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(x-y)^2}{2} dF(y) = ((x-\mu)^2 + \sigma_F^2)/2.$$

Using Theorem 3.4 and (4.5), we get (4.4).

The above can be easily extended to random variables which are not uniformly bounded. Choose a $C_1 > 0$. Let $A = \{|X_i - \mu| \leq C_1, |X_j - \mu| \leq C_1\}$ and $B = \{|X_k - \mu| \leq C_1, |X_l - \mu| \leq C_1\}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&|E(h^{(2)}(X_i, X_j)h^{(2)}(X_k, X_l))| \\
&\leq |E(h^{(2)}(X_i, X_j)1_A h^{(2)}(X_k, X_l)1_B)| + |E(h^{(2)}(X_i, X_j)1_{A^c} h^{(2)}(X_k, X_l)1_B)| \\
&\quad + |E(h^{(2)}(X_i, X_j)1_A h^{(2)}(X_k, X_l)1_{B^c})| + |E(h^{(2)}(X_i, X_j)1_{A^c} h^{(2)}(X_k, X_l)1_{B^c})| \\
&= J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4, \text{ (say)}. \qquad (4.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $T = \Big[[s(|i-j|) + s(|i-k|) + s(|i-l|)] \times [s(|j-i|) + s(|j-k|) + s(|j-l|)] \times [s(|k-i|) + s(|k-j|) + s(|k-l|)]\Big]$, where $s(z) = Cov(X_1, X_{1+s})$, $s \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0$.

Then, from (3.6), $J_1 \leq CC_1^2 T^{1/3}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 \leq & E\Big|(X_i - \mu)(X_j - \mu)(X_k - \mu)(X_l - \mu)[1_{\{|X_i-\mu|\leq C_1, |X_j-\mu|>C_1\}} \\
& + 1_{\{|X_i-\mu|>C_1, |X_j-\mu|\leq C_1\}} + 1_{\{|X_i-\mu|>C_1, |X_j-\mu|>C_1\}}]1_{\{|X_k-\mu|\leq C_1, |X_l-\mu|\leq C_1\}}\Big| \\
\leq & \frac{C}{C_1^\delta}, \text{ assuming } E|X_i|^{4+\delta} < \infty, \text{ for all } i \geq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $J_3 \leq \frac{C}{C_1^\delta}$ and $J_4 \leq \frac{C}{C_1^\delta}$. Hence,

$$|E(h^{(2)}(X_i, X_j)h^{(2)}(X_k, X_l))| \leq \frac{C}{C_1^\delta} + CC_1^2 T^{1/3}. \qquad (4.7)$$

Choosing, $C_1 = T^{-1/(3(2+\delta))}$ in (4.7),

$$|E(h^{(2)}(X_i, X_j)h^{(2)}(X_k, X_l))| \leq CT^{\delta/(3(2+\delta))} \qquad (4.8)$$

Using (4.3), $|E(h^{(2)}(X_i, X_j)h^{(2)}(X_k, X_l))| = o(n^3)$ as $n \to \infty$ is satisfied.

Define,

$$\tilde{\rho}_1(x) = \frac{x^2 I(x \geq 0) + 2x\mu + \mu^2 + \sigma_F^2 - x^2 I(x \leq 0)}{2}, \qquad (4.9)$$

where $I(.)$ denotes the indicator function. Then $\rho_1(x) \ll \tilde{\rho}_1(x)$.

Let $C_1 > 0$. Define, $A = \{|X_i| \leq C_1\}$ and $B = \{|X_j| \leq C_1\}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
Cov(\tilde{\rho}_1(X_i), \tilde{\rho}_1(X_j)) = & Cov(\tilde{\rho}_1(X_i)1_A, \tilde{\rho}_1(X_j)1_B) + Cov(\tilde{\rho}_1(X_i)1_{A^c}, \tilde{\rho}_1(X_j)1_B) \\
& + Cov(\tilde{\rho}_1(X_i)1_A, \tilde{\rho}_1(X_j)1_{B^c}) + Cov(\tilde{\rho}_1(X_i)1_{A^c}, \tilde{\rho}_1(X_j)1_{B^c}) \\
= & J_1' + J_2' + J_3' + J_4', (say). \qquad (4.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.3, $J_1' \leq CC_1^2[Cov(X_i, X_j)]$. For J_2',

$$\begin{aligned}
&Cov(\tilde{\rho}_1(X_i)1_{A^c}, \tilde{\rho}_1(X_j)1_B) \leq C\Big[E|\tilde{\rho}_1(X_i)1_{\{|X_i|>C_1\}}\tilde{\rho}_1(X_j)1_{\{|X_j|\leq C_1\}}|\Big] \\
&\leq CC_1{}^2 E\Big[(X_i^2 + 2\mu|X_i| + \sigma_F^2 + \mu^2)1_{\{|X_i|>C_1\}}\Big] \\
&\leq CC_1{}^2 E\Big[\frac{|X_i|^{4+\delta}}{C_1^{2+\delta}} + 2\mu\frac{|X_i|^{3+\delta}}{C_1^{2+\delta}} + \sigma_F^2\frac{|X_i|^{2+\delta}}{C_1^{2+\delta}} + \mu^2\frac{|X_i|^{2+\delta}}{C_1^{2+\delta}}\Big] \leq \frac{C}{C_1^\delta}, \text{ for some } \delta > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $J_3' \leq \frac{C}{C_1^\delta}$ and $J_4' \leq \frac{C}{C_1^\delta}$. Hence, it follows,

$$Cov(\tilde{\rho}_1(X_i), \tilde{\rho}_1(X_j)) \leq \frac{C}{C_1^\delta} + CC_1^2 Cov(X_i, X_j). \tag{4.11}$$

Choosing, $C_1 = Cov(X_i, X_j)^{-1/(2+\delta)}$ in (4.11),

$$Cov(\tilde{\rho}_1(X_i), \tilde{\rho}_1(X_j)) \leq CCov(X_i, X_j)^{\delta/(2+\delta)}. \tag{4.12}$$

Using $\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} Cov(X_1, X_j)^{\frac{\delta}{2+\delta}} < \infty$ (which follows from (4.3)) and Theorem 3.4, we have (4.4). □

4.2 Estimator of square of mean

Let $\{X_j, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ be from F. A U-statistic, M_n, estimating the square of mean (μ^2) of F is,

$$M_n = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} X_i X_j. \tag{4.13}$$

Here, $\rho(x, y) = xy$, $h^{(2)}(x, y) = (x - \mu)(y - \mu)$ and $\rho_1(x) = x\mu - \mu^2$. Assuming the conditions of Theorem 4.1, this kernel satisfies the conditions of our Theorem 3.3 and the limiting distribution can be obtained similarly.

4.3 Third central moment estimator

Let the sample $\{X_j, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ be from F. A U-statistic, T_n, estimating the third central moment is,

$$T_n = \frac{n}{(n-1)(n-2)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^3 = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{3}} \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} \rho(X_i, X_j, X_k).$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(X_i, X_j, X_k) =& \frac{X_i^3 + X_j^3 + X_k^3}{3} - \frac{[X_i^2(X_j + X_k) + X_j^2(X_i + X_k) + X_k^2(X_j + X_i)]}{2} \\ &+ 2[X_i X_j X_k].\end{aligned}$$

W.l.o.g assume $E(X_1) = 0$. Let $E(X_1^3) = \mu_3$ and $E(X_1^2) = \mu_2$. Then,

$$\rho_2(x, y) = \frac{\mu_3 + x^3 + y^3}{3} - \frac{[x^2 y + y^2 x + \mu_2(x + y)]}{2}, \text{ and } \rho_1(x) = \frac{2\mu_3 + x^3}{3} - \mu_2 x.$$

When $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ are uniformly bounded, $Cov(\tilde{\rho}_1(X_1), \tilde{\rho}_1(X_j)) \leq CCov(X_1, X_j)$ where $\tilde{\rho}_1(x) = \frac{2\mu_3 + x^3}{3} + \mu_2 x$. Hence, under $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} Cov(X_1, X_j)^{1/3} < \infty$ and the assumptions stated in Remark 3.1 ($k = 3$), the asymptotic normality can be obtained.

The extension to non-uniformly bounded random variables can be worked out similarly as done in Theorem 4.1.

5 Estimation of σ_U

In practice, $\sigma_U^2 = Var(\rho_1(X_1)) + 2\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} Cov(\rho_1(X_1), \rho_1(X_j))$ may not be known and needs to be estimated. When $\rho_1(\cdot)$ is monotonic, $\{\rho_1(X_j), j \geq 1\}$ is a stationary sequence of associated random variables, and the following results of Peligrad and Suresh (1995) can be used to estimate σ_U.

Peligrad and Suresh (1995) had proposed a consistent estimator for $\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\sigma$, where $\sigma^2 = \lim_{n\to\infty} Var(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_n)/n$, and $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of stationary associated random variables. Their estimator, B_n^x, as discussed below, is based on overlapping blocks of the sub-series $\{X_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$.

Theorem 5.1. *(Peligrad and Suresh (1995)) Let $\{\ell_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of positive integers with $1 \leq \ell_n \leq n$ and $\ell_n = o(n)$ as $n \to \infty$. Set $S_j^x(k) = \sum_{i=j+1}^{j+k} X_i$, $\bar{X_n} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_j$. Let $E(X_1) = \mu^x$ and $E(X_1^2) < \infty$. Define, (write $\ell = \ell_n$),*

$$B_n^x = \frac{1}{n-\ell+1}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-\ell} \frac{|S_j^x(\ell) - \ell\bar{X_n}|}{\sqrt{\ell}}\right). \tag{5.1}$$

Assume $\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} Cov(X_1, X_i) < \infty$. Then, $B_n^x \to \sigma\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$ in L_2 as $n \to \infty$.
If in addition, we assume $\ell_n = O(\frac{n}{(logn)^2})$ as $n \to \infty$, the convergence is also in almost sure sense.

Theorem 5.2. *(Peligrad and Suresh (1995)) Under the conditions of theorem* 5.1*, as $n \to \infty$,*

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}\ell}\Big[\sum_{j=0}^{n-\ell}(S_j^{x\pm}(\ell) - E(S_j^{x\pm}(\ell)))\Big] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N\Big(0, \frac{5\pi-8}{8\pi}\sigma^2\Big), \tag{5.2}$$

where, $f^+ = max(0, f)$ and $f^- = -min(0, f)$. For every $u > 0$,

$$limsup_{n\to\infty} P\Big(\sqrt{\frac{n}{\ell}}\Big|B_n^x - E\Big|\frac{S_o^x(\ell) - \ell\mu^x}{\sqrt{\ell}}\Big|\Big| > Au\Big) \leq 3P(|N| > u), \tag{5.3}$$

where N is a standard normal variable and $A = \Big(\sqrt{\frac{5\pi-8}{2\pi}} + 1\Big)\sigma$.

However, when $\rho_1(\cdot)$ is non-monotonic, these results cannot be used. We now extend the results of Peligrad and Suresh (1995) to obtain a consistent estimator of σ_f, where $\sigma_f^2 = \lim_{n\to\infty}(VarS_n)/n$, $S_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(X_j)$. $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a non-monotonic function and is dominated by a non-decreasing function $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f \ll \tilde{f}$. When $\rho_1(\cdot)$ is non-monotonic, Theorems 5.3 and 5.4 can be used to obtain a consistent estimator for σ_U. The information on the limiting behavior of the estimator is given by Theorem 5.5.

Let $Y_n = f(X_n)$ and $\tilde{Y}_n = \tilde{f}(X_n)$ $\forall$ $n \geq 1$ in the following.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $\{\ell_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of positive integers with $1 \leq \ell_n \leq n$ and $\ell_n = o(n)$ as $n \to \infty$. Set $S_j(k) = \sum_{i=j+1}^{j+k} Y_i$, $\bar{Y_n} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_j$. Let $E(Y_1) = \mu$ and $E(Y_1^2) < \infty$. Define, (write $\ell = \ell_n$),*

$$B_n = \frac{1}{n-\ell+1}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-\ell} \frac{|S_j(\ell) - \ell\bar{Y_n}|}{\sqrt{\ell}}\right). \tag{5.4}$$

Assume,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} Cov(\tilde{Y_1}, \tilde{Y_j}) < \infty. \tag{5.5}$$

Then,

$$B_n \to \sigma_f \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \ \ in\ L_2\ \ as\ n \to \infty, \tag{5.6}$$

where, $\sigma_f^2 = Var(Y_1) + 2\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} Cov(Y_1, Y_j)$.

Theorem 5.4. *In addition to the conditions of Theorem* 5.3*, if we assume, the condition* $\ell_n = O(\frac{n}{log^2 n})$ *the convergence in* (5.6) *is also in almost sure sense.*

Information on the rate of convergence of B_n is provided by the following extension of Theorem 5.2.

Theorem 5.5. *If the conditions of Theorem* 5.3 *are true, then as* $n \to \infty$,

$$\sqrt{\frac{n}{\ell}}\Big(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}}{n-\ell+1}\sum_{j=0}^{n-\ell}\Big(\Big|\frac{S_j(\ell)-\ell\mu}{\sqrt{\ell}}\Big|\Big) - \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}E\Big|\frac{S_o(\ell)-\ell\mu}{\sqrt{\ell}}\Big|\Big)\Big) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N\Big(0, \frac{3\pi-8}{4}\sigma_f^2\Big). \tag{5.7}$$

For every $x > 0$,

$$limsup_{n\to\infty} P\Big(\sqrt{\frac{n}{\ell}}\Big|B_n - E\Big|\frac{S_o(\ell)-\ell\mu}{\sqrt{\ell}}\Big|\Big| > Ax\Big) \le 2P(|N| > x), \tag{5.8}$$

where N *is a standard normal variable and* $A = \Big(\sqrt{\frac{3\pi-8}{2\pi}} + 1\Big)\sigma_f$.

The proofs of these theorems are similar to the proofs of theorems of Peligrad and Suresh (1995) albeit some small modifications. The proofs require the following lemmas.

Lemma 5.6. *Let* $\{Y_n, n \ge 1\}$, $\{\tilde{Y}_n, n \ge 1\}$ *and* $S_i(\ell)$ *be as defined in Theorem* 5.3. *Then, for* $i, j \ge 0$

$$Cov[S_i(\ell), S_j(\ell)] \le Cov[\tilde{S}_i(\ell), \tilde{S}_j(\ell)], \tag{5.9}$$

$$Cov[|S_i(\ell)|, |S_j(\ell)|] \le Cov[\tilde{S}_i(\ell), \tilde{S}_j(\ell)], \tag{5.10}$$

where $\tilde{S}_j(\ell) = \sum_{i=j+1}^{j+\ell} \tilde{Y}_i$.

Proof. $S_i(\ell), \tilde{S}_i(\ell) : \mathbb{R}^\ell \to \mathbb{R}$ and $S_i(\ell) \ll \tilde{S}_i(\ell)$ for all $0 \le i \le n-\ell$. From this, for $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$, $x_i \le y_i$ $i = 1, 2, ..., \ell$,

$$|S_i(\ell)(\mathbf{x}) - S_i(\ell)(\mathbf{y})| \le \tilde{S}_i(\ell)(\mathbf{y}) - \tilde{S}_i(\ell)(\mathbf{x}).$$

Also, $||S_i(\ell)(\mathbf{x})| - |S_i(\ell)(\mathbf{y})|| \le |S_i(\ell)(\mathbf{x}) - S_i(\ell)(\mathbf{y})|$. So, $|S_i(\ell)| \ll \tilde{S}_i(\ell)$ for all $0 \le i \le n-\ell$. Using Lemma 2.8 we get (5.9) and (5.10).

Lemma 5.7. *Under the conditions of Theorem* 5.3*,*

$$\lim_{\ell\to\infty} \frac{1}{\ell}\Big[\sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} Cov\Big\{\Big|\frac{S_0(\ell)}{\sqrt{\ell}}\Big|, \Big|\frac{S_j(\ell)}{\sqrt{\ell}}\Big|\Big\}\Big] = \int_0^1 Cov[|\sigma_f W(1)|, |\sigma_f(W(1+t) - W(t))|]dt \tag{5.11}$$

where, $\{W(t); t \ge 0\}$ *is the standard Weiner process.*

Proof. An invariance principle for $\frac{S_{[nt]}}{\sqrt{n}\sigma_f}$ is needed. From Definition 2.5, $f \ll \tilde{f}$ if and only if $\tilde{f}-f$ and $\tilde{f}+f$ is non-decreasing, i.e for $x < y$, $|f(x)-f(y)| \leq \tilde{f}(y)-\tilde{f}(x)$. This implies that if $f \ll \tilde{f}$, then f is a function of bounded variation on any finite interval. Using the notations of Lemma 2.10, since $|V_f(y) - V_f(x)| = V_x^y(f) \leq \tilde{f}(y) - \tilde{f}(x)$ for $x < y$, we have, $V_f(x) \ll \tilde{f}(x)$. Using (5.5) and Lemma 2.8, we have,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} Cov(V_f(X_1), V_f(X_j)) < \infty.$$

Using Lemma 2.10, we get,

$$\frac{S_{[nt]}}{\sqrt{n}\sigma_f} \text{converges weakly to } W(t) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Rest follows as Lemma 2.2 in Peligrad and Suresh (1995).

Lemma 5.8. *Under the conditions of Theorem* 5.3*,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n\ell}\Big[\sum_{j=0}^{n-\ell} Var|\frac{S_j(\ell)}{\sqrt{\ell}}|\Big] = 2\int_0^1 \sigma_f^2 Cov[|W(1)|, |W(1+t) - W(t)|]dt \qquad (5.12)$$

where, $\{W(t); t \geq 0\}$ *is the standard Weiner process.*

Proof. Using inequalities (5.9) and (5.10) and Lemma 5.7, rest of the proof is as Lemma 2.3 of Peligrad and Suresh (1995).

Lemma 5.9. *Let* $\{W(t); t \geq 0\}$ *be the standard Weiner process. Then,*

$$\int_0^1 Cov[|\sigma_f W(1)|, |\sigma_f(W(1+t) - W(t))|]dt = \frac{\sigma_f^2}{4\pi}(3\pi - 8)$$

Proof. The proof is elementary.

Proof of the theorems

Proof of Theorem 5.3**.** Using the lemmas proved and Lemma 2.6, the proof of the theorem follows as the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Peligrad and Suresh (1995).

Proof of Theorem 5.4**.** Let C be a generic positive constant in the sequel. Let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$ and $\tilde{S}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{Y}_i$. For any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\Big[\frac{|(S_{2^n} - 2^n\mu)|}{2^{n/2}log2^n} \geq \epsilon\Big] \leq C\epsilon^{-2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n}n^{-2}Var(S_{2^n})$$

$$\leq C\epsilon^{-2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n}n^{-2}Var(\tilde{S}_{2^n}) \leq C\epsilon^{-2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^2} < \infty. \qquad (5.13)$$

The second inequality follows as $S_n \ll \tilde{S}_n$.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\Big[\frac{1}{2^{n/2}log2^n}\max_{2^n<k\leq 2^{n+1}}|S_k - k\mu - (S_{2^n} - 2^n\mu)| \geq \epsilon\Big]$$

$$\leq C\epsilon^{-2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2^n n^2}Var(\tilde{S}_{2^{n+1}} - \tilde{S}_{2^n})$$

$$\leq C\epsilon^{-2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2^n n^2}Var(\tilde{S}_{2^{n+1}}) \leq C\epsilon^{-2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^2} < \infty. \qquad (5.14)$$

The second inequality follows from Lemma 2.9. Using Borel-Cantelli lemma we have,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|S_n - n\mu|}{n^{1/2} log n} = 0 \text{ a.s. as } n \to 0.$$

Using the central limit theorem for S_n, (Lemma 2.6) which holds under the conditions of Theorem 5.3, we have,

$$E\Big|\frac{S_j(\ell) - \ell\mu}{\sqrt{\ell}}\Big| \to \sigma_f\sqrt{2/\pi} \text{ as } \ell \to \infty.$$

So, we just need to prove,

$$\frac{1}{n-\ell+1}\sum_{j=0}^{n-\ell}\Big|\frac{S_j(\ell) - \ell\mu}{\sqrt{\ell}}\Big| - E\Big|\frac{S_j(\ell) - \ell\mu}{\sqrt{\ell}}\Big| \to 0 \text{ a.s. as } n \to \infty. \tag{5.15}$$

As $Y_k - E(Y_k) \ll \tilde{Y}_k - E(Y_k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, w.l.o.g we can assume $\mu = 0$.
Observe that under (5.5) and solving as Lemma 5.8, we have,

$$Var\Big[\sum_{j=0}^{n-\ell}\frac{\tilde{S}_j(\ell)}{\sqrt{\ell}}\Big] = O(\frac{n^2}{log^2 n}) \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{5.16}$$

Now, $|S_j(\ell)|$ is a sequence in j and is dominated by the associated sequence $\tilde{S}_j(\ell)$, as $|S_j(\ell)| \ll \tilde{S}_j(\ell)$. From (5.16) and Lemma 2.9, for any $\epsilon > 0$

$$P\Big[\max_{\ell < n \le 2^{j+1}} \frac{1}{n-\ell+1}\Big|\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-\ell}|S_j(\ell)| - E|S_j(\ell)|}{\sqrt{\ell}}\Big| > \epsilon\Big] = O(\frac{1}{j^2}) \text{ as } j \to \infty.$$

For a large $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &P\Big[\bigcup_{n>N}\frac{1}{n-\ell+1}\Big|\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-\ell}|S_j(\ell)| - E|S_j(\ell)|}{\sqrt{\ell}}\Big| > \epsilon\Big] \\ &\le \sum_{j=[log_2 N]-1} P\Big[\max_{\ell \le n \le 2^{j+1}}\frac{1}{n-\ell+1}\Big|\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-\ell}|S_j(\ell)| - E|S_j(\ell)|}{\sqrt{\ell}}\Big| > \epsilon\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

Using Borel-Cantelli Lemma,

$$\frac{1}{n-\ell+1}\Big|\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-\ell}|S_j(\ell)| - E|S_j(\ell)|}{\sqrt{\ell}}\Big| \to 0 \text{ a.s as } n \to \infty.$$

Proof of Theorem 5.5. $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n\ell}}\sum_{j=0}^{n-\ell}(|S_j(\ell)| - E(|S_j(\ell)|)$ is divided into $(k_n + 1)$ blocks where $\Big[\frac{n-\ell}{\ell}\Big] = k_n$. Define,

$$V_i = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1}\{|S_{(i-1)\ell+j}(\ell)| - E|S_{(i-1)l+j}(\ell)|\}, 1 \le i \le k_n,$$

$$\tilde{V}_i = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1}\{\tilde{S}_{(i-1)\ell+j}(\ell) - E(\tilde{S}_{(i-1)\ell+j}(\ell))\}, 1 \le i \le k_n.$$

$V_i \ll \tilde{V}_i$, $1 \le i \le k_n$. Using Lemma 2.7,

$$|E(e^{(it/\sqrt{n}\ell)\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} V_j}) - \prod_{j=1}^{k_n} E(e^{(it/\sqrt{n}\ell)V_j})| \le \frac{2t^2}{n\ell^2} \sum_{1\le i<j\le k_n} Cov(\tilde{V}_i, \tilde{V}_j) \tag{5.18}$$

$$\le \frac{t^2}{n\ell^2}\Big[Var(\sum_{i=1}^{k_n}\tilde{V}_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} Var(\tilde{V}_i)\Big] \le \frac{t^2}{n\ell^2}\Big[Var(\sum_{i=1}^{k_n+1}\tilde{V}_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} Var(\tilde{V}_i)\Big]$$

$$\le t^2\Big[\frac{Var(\sum_{j=0}^{n-\ell}\tilde{S}_j(\ell))}{n\ell^2} - \frac{k_n Var(\tilde{V}_1)}{n\ell^2}\Big] \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Variance of the remainder,

$$Var\Big[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}\ell}\sum_{j=k_n\ell}^{n-l}|S_j(\ell)|\Big] \le Var\Big[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}\ell}\sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1}\tilde{S}_j(\ell)\Big] \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{5.19}$$

These follow from the conditions of Theorem 5.3. Also by Lemma 2.6, under condition (5.5), a central limit theorem for S_n is implied. Using these, the rest of the proof follows similarly like Theorem 1.3 in Peligrad and Suresh (1995).
W.l.o.g assume $\mu = 0$. Observe,

$$\sqrt{\frac{n}{\ell}}\Big|B_n - \frac{1}{n-\ell+1}\sum_{j=0}^{n-\ell}\frac{|S_j(\ell) - \ell\mu|}{\sqrt{\ell}}\Big| \le \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Using (5.7) and Lemma 2.6, (5.8) follows.
Note. For a discussion on the optimal choice of ℓ, see Peligrad and Suresh (1995).

6 Conclusions

In this paper, we used the Hoeffding's decomposition to obtain the limiting distribution of U-statistics with differentiable kernels when the underlying sample consists of stationary associated random variables. We also extended results of Peligrad and Suresh (1995) to non-monotonic functions of associated random variables. These results have a much wider application than just those discussed here.

References

Birkel T. (1988a). Moment bounds for associated sequences. *Ann. Probab.*, 16(3):1184–1193.

Birkel T. (1988b). A note on the strong law of large numbers for positively dependent random variables. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 7(1):17–20.

Birkel T. (1988c). On the convergence rate in the central limit theorem for associated processes. *Ann. Probab.*, 16(4):1685–1698.

Bulinski A. and Shashkin A. (2007). *Limit theorems for associated random fields and related systems*. Advanced Series on Statistical Science and Applied Probability, 10. World Scientific Publishing, New Jersey.

Bulinski A. and Shashkin A. (2009). *Limit theorems for associated random variables*. Brill Academic Pub.

Christofides T.C. (2004). U-statistics on associated random variables. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, 119(1):1–15.

Christofides T.C. and Vaggelatou E. (2004). A connection between supermodular ordering and positive/negative association. *J. Multivariate Anal.*, 88(1):138 – 151.

Cox J.T. and Grimmett G. (1984). Central limit theorems for associated random variables and the percolation model. *Ann. Probab.*, 12(2):514–528.

Dewan I. and Prakasa Rao B.L.S. (2001). Asymptotic normality for U-statistics of associated random variables. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, 97(2):201–225.

Esary J.D., Proschan F., and Walkup D.W. (1967). Association of random variables, with applications. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 38(5):1466–1474.

Hoeffding W. (1948). A class of statistics with asymptotically normal distribution. *Ann. Math. Statistics*, 19(3):293–325.

Lee A.J. (1990). *U-statistics: Theory and practice*. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York.

Matuła P. (2001). Limit theorems for sums of nonmonotonic functions of associated random variables. *J. Math. Sci.*, 105(6):2590–2593.

Newman C.M. (1980). Normal fluctuations and the FKG inequalities. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 74(2):119–128.

Newman C.M. (1984). Asymptotic independence and limit theorems for positively and negatively dependent random variables. In *Inequalities in statistics and probability (Lincoln, Neb., 1982)*, volume 5 of *IMS Lecture Notes Monogr. Ser.*, pages 127–140. Inst. Math. Statist., Hayward, CA.

Newman C.M. and Wright A.L. (1982). Associated random variables and martingale inequalities. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete*, 59(3):361–371.

Oliveira P. (2012). *Asymptotics for associated random variables*. Springer, Heidelberg.

Peligard M. and Suresh R. (1995). Estimation of variance of partial sums of an associated sequence of random variables. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 56(2):307–319.

Prakasa Rao B.L.S. (2012). *Associated sequences, demimartingales and nonparametric inference*. Probability and its Applications (New York). Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel.

Roussas G.G. (1993). Curve estimation in random fields of associated processes. *J. Nonparametr. Statist.*, 2(3):215–224.

Roussas G.G. (1994). Asymptotic normality of random fields of positively or negatively associated processes. *J. Multivariate Anal.*, 50(1):152–173.

Zhang L.X. (2001). The weak convergence for functions of negatively associated random variables. *J. Multivariate Anal.*, 78(2):272–298.